

Minges, Allison

From: Zeigler, Belton <Belton.Zeigler@wbd-us.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2020 8:30 AM
To: Stark, David; chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; Nelson, Jeff; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; richard@rlwhitt.law; Jamey Goldin google; seaton@spilmanlaw.com; dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com; cgrundmann@spilmanlaw.com; court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com; Weston Adams; Hall, Roger; Pittman, Jenny
Cc: PSC_Contact
Subject: [External] RE: 2020-209-E Proceeding

David:

Would it be inappropriate to ask about timing as to the granting or denial of the request for a paper hearing? We are at a point where we will need to devote significant time to hearing preparation if a live hearing is to take place. As you know, all parties have now agreed to proceeding with a paper hearing. Any guidance you can give will be greatly appreciated.

Belton

Belton Zeigler

Partner
 Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP

d: 803-454-7720
 m: 803-530-1189
 e: Belton.Zeigler@wbd-us.com

1221 Main Street
 Suite 1600
 Columbia, SC 29201



womblebonddickinson.com



This email is sent for and on behalf of Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP. Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP is a member of Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited, which consists of independent and autonomous law firms providing services in the US, the UK, and elsewhere around the world. Each Womble Bond Dickinson entity is a separate legal entity and is not responsible for the acts or omissions of, nor can bind or obligate, another Womble Bond Dickinson entity. Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited does not practice law. Please see www.womblebonddickinson.com/us/legal-notice for further details.

From: Stark, David <david.stark@psc.sc.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, December 1, 2020 11:07 AM
To: chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; Nelson, Jeff <jnelson@ors.sc.gov>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; richard@rlwhitt.law; Jamey Goldin google <jameygoldin@google.com>; Zeigler, Belton <Belton.Zeigler@wbd-us.com>; seaton@spilmanlaw.com; dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com; cgrundmann@spilmanlaw.com; court.walsh@nelsonmullins.com; Weston Adams <weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com>; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; Pittman, Jenny <jpittman@ors.sc.gov>
Cc: PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>
Subject: 2020-209-E Proceeding

Parties:

I am writing to you regarding Docket No. 2020-209-E; South Carolina Energy Freedom Act (House Bill 3659) Proceeding Related to Dominion Energy South Carolina, Incorporated and S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-41-30 Related to Electrical Utilities and Their Current Voluntary Renewable Energy Program, and Such Other Proceedings Required By the Commission.

The Commission has received communications that the Company, ORS, the SBA, and Walmart do not object to the use of a paper proceeding in this docket. However, there has been no indication or communications on this matter from Johnson Development. If you would all be so kind, would each party indicate if they object or do not object to the use of a paper proceeding in this docket?

Regards,

David Stark
Attorney
South Carolina Public Service Commission
david.stark@psc.sc.gov